

### REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

In the Office Action mailed June 6, 2007, claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In this Amendment, claims 1 and 4 have been amended to more clearly recite aspects of the present invention. In particular, claims 1 and 4 have been amended to remove what the Examiner termed "vague and ambiguous" language. Support for the amendments can be found, for example, in the description of embodiments of the invention shown in Figs. 10a-10e, and in the discussion of the specification with respect to Figure 2.

In view of the foregoing all of the claims in this case are believed to be proper form. Should the Examiner have any questions or determine that any further action is desirable to place this application in condition for issue, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone applicants' undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Serial No.: 10/568,593  
Art Unit: 3764  
Inventor: Yoshiaki SATO

Attorney's Docket No.: SUZ0028-US  
Page 5

PAUL HASTINGS JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP  
875 15th Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
Tel: 202-551-1700

Respectfully submitted,

YOSHIAKI SATO

Date: July 6, 2007

By:



Michael Bednarek  
Registration No. 32,329

Attachments: None

MB/SPA/RR/ggb

Customer No. 36183